DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 445 774 PS 028 585

AUTHOR Newman, Sanford; Brazelton, T. Berry; Zigler, Edward; Sherman, Lawrence W.; Bratton, William; Sanders, Jerry; Christeson, William

TITLE America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy.

INSTITUTION Fight Crime: Invest in Kids, Washington, DC.

SPONS AGENCY David and Lucile Packard Foundation, Los Altos, CA.; Grant (W.T.) Foundation, New York, NY.; Edna McConnell Clark Foundation, New York, NY.; DeWitt Wallace/Reader's Digest Fund, Pleasantville, NY.; McCormick Tribune Foundation, Chicago, IL.; Public Welfare Foundation, Washington, DC.; Z. Smith Reynolds Foundation, Sapelo Island, GA.; Rockefeller Foundation, New York, NY.; Robert Sterling Clark Foundation, Inc., New York, NY.; Pinkerton Foundation, New York, NY.; William Caspar Graustein Memorial Fund, New Haven, CT.; International Association of Machinists, Washington, DC. Research Dept.; Woods Fund of Chicago, IL.; Chicago Community Trust, IL.; John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, Chicago, IL.

PUB DATE 2000-00-00

NOTE 33p.; Additional funding by Naomi and Nehemiah Cohen Foundation, After-School Corporation, Nathan Cummings Foundation, Butler Family Fund, Irving B. Harris Foundation, Institute for Civil Society, Foundation for the Carolinas, Neisser Fund, American Income Life Insurance Co., New Prospect Foundation, Threshold Foundation, Blum-Kovler Foundation, and Stern Family Fund.

AVAILABLE FROM Fight Crime: Invest in Kids, Child Care Report, 2000 P Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036 ($12). For full text: http://www.fightcrime.org.

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Crime; *Day Care; Early Childhood Education; Government Role; Models; *Prevention; *Young Children

IDENTIFIERS Day Care Quality

ABSTRACT

This report presents findings relating the reduction of crime and violence with access to good educational child care programs. The report discusses the affordability of such programs, suggests that federal and state governments are not adequately funding educational child care programs, and argues that investing in high-quality child care and development programs will result in crime reduction. Following an introduction, Chapter 1 of the report discusses evidence that high-quality educational child care prevents crime and children's problem behaviors, focusing on the High/Scope Preschool Program, the Syracuse University Family Development Program, and North Carolina's Smart Start program. Chapter 2 examines the importance of high-quality child care, while chapter 3 maintains that many low- and moderate-income parents cannot afford high-quality child care. Chapter 4 describes government initiatives for child care, parenting education, and after-school programs and argues that governmental support has been inadequate. Chapter 5 argues that investing in high-quality early childhood education eventually saves money through reduced costs of crime,

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

welfare, and remedial education and in added revenues from taxes paid when preschoolers become adult workers. Chapter 6 presents a call for action by child development experts and law enforcement officials to increase federal and state funding of educational child care programs. Contains 88 endnotes. (KB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 445 774

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy

A Report From

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

by

Sanford Newman, J.D.
President, Fight Crime: Invest in Kids

T. Berry Brazelton, M.D.
Professor Emeritus, Harvard Medical School

Edward Zigler, Ph.D.
Sterling Professor of Psychology, Yale University

Lawrence W. Sherman, Ph.D.
Greenfield Professor of Human Relations, University of Pennsylvania

William Bratton
Former Police Commissioner, New York City

Jerry Sanders
Former Chief of Police, San Diego

William Christeson, M.H.S.
Research Director, Fight Crime: Invest in Kids

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Hilda Valdez
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1

PS 028585

Copyright © 2000, Fight Crime: Invest In Kids, Washington, DC

Acknowledgments

This report is made possible by support from the David and Lucile Packard, William T. Grant and John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundations. FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS appreciates their major grants and those of all of our supporters.

MAJOR SOURCES OF FUNDS

David and Lucile Packard Foundation • William T. Grant Foundation • John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation • Edna McConnell Clark Foundation • DeWitt Wallace Reader's Digest Fund • Robert McCormick Tribune Foundation • Public Welfare Foundation • Z. Smith Reynolds Foundation • Naomi and Nehemiah Cohen Foundation • After-School Corporation • Rockefeller Family Fund • Robert Sterling Clark Foundation • Nathan Cummings Foundation • Butler Family Fund • Irving B. Harris Foundation • Institute for Civil Society • Foundation for the Carolinas • Pinkerton Foundation • Neisser Fund • American Income Life Insurance Co.• New Prospect Foundation • William C. Graustein Memorial Fund • International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers • Woods Fund of Chicago • Threshold Foundation • Blum-Kovler Foundation • Chicago Community Trust • Stern Family Fund

Sanford A. Newman
President
Fight Crime: Invest in Kids

This report is available free of charge on the
FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS web site
http://www.fightcrime.org

To order printed copies, send $12 to FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS,
Child Care Report, 2000 P Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.
To arrange rates for bulk orders, e-mail reports@fightcrime.org.

Readers interested in being kept up to date on crime prevention
research and policy developments may subscribe to the
Fight Crime Listserve
at www.fightcrime.org/email.html

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS is a non-profit anti-crime organization which gratefully accepts tax-deductible contributions.

America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy

Contents

Key Findings	ii
Introduction	1
Chapter One: Quality Educational Child Care Prevents Crime	5
Chapter Two: Child Storage Isn't Child Care	9
Chapter Three: Too Many Families Can't Afford Adequate Child Care	11
Chapter Four: Federal and State Governments Fall Short of Investment Needed to Protect Public Safety	13
Chapter Five: Penny-Wise, Pound-Foolish Policy Choices: Wasting Money and Lives	17
Chapter Six: From the Front Lines of the Battle Against Crime: A Call for Action	19
Conclusion	20

Key Findings

A comprehensive analysis of recent ground-breaking research shows:

1. **Federal, state and local governments could greatly reduce crime and violence by assuring families access to good educational child care programs.**
   - New research from a large 14-year study of children who had been enrolled in government-funded Child-Parent Centers shows the risk that they would have two or more arrests as teens was cut nearly in half, compared to children not in the program.
   - A 22-year study of the High Scope Perry Preschool program shows that denying at-risk children quality child development programs may multiply by five times our risk that they will be chronic lawbreakers as adults.
   - Children from centers improved by North Carolina's "Smart Start" initiative were only about half as likely as comparison children to have serious behavior problems in kindergarten.
   - Large national studies show that at-risk kids who attend high-quality child care programs have no more behavior problems at age eight than children of college-educated parents.

2. **Low- and moderate-income working parents cannot pay what good child care programs cost any more than they could pay the full cost of sending their kids to public school.**
   - Adequate care for two children in a child care center easily costs over $12,000 a year — about $2,000 more than a full-time minimum wage worker earns.
   - In every state the cost for an infant to attend a good urban child care center is higher than the cost of tuition at a public university.

3. **Governments are dropping the ball.**
   - Head Start, the principal federal child development program for children in poverty, is so under-funded that it can serve only half the eligible children, and can serve most of these for only part of the time parents are working.
   - The Child Care and Development Block Grant, designed to help low-income parents pay for child care, can serve only one out of ten eligible children, and provides funds too meager to purchase adequate care.
   - Some state initiatives, like Kentucky's Family Resource Centers, Georgia's universal access to pre-kindergarten programs for 4-year olds, North Carolina's Smart Start, and Ohio's Families and Children First initiative, are making critical contributions. But no state is yet close to meeting the full need for quality child care programs.

4. **Investing now in quality child care and development programs will yield such crime reductions and other benefits that governments will have more money for Social Security, tax cuts, or any other purpose in the years ahead.**

America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy

A Report from
FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

Introduction

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS is a national anti-crime organization led by hundreds of those on the front lines of the battle against crime and violence: police chiefs, sheriffs, police organization leaders, prosecutors, crime victims and those from whom murder has taken loved ones.

Our members are determined to put dangerous criminals behind bars. But we also know that no punishment after someone gets hurt can undo the agony crime leaves in its wake. When children don't get the right start in life, all of us are endangered. Good educational child care is one of our most powerful weapons against crime, while poor quality child care multiplies the risk that children will grow up to be a threat to every American family. Yet millions of eligible children are missing out on the help they need to get that right start in life.

In a recent survey of police chiefs conducted by George Mason University professors Stephen Mastrofski and Scott Keeter, nearly nine of ten police chiefs said America could greatly reduce crime by expanding educational child care programs and after-school programs. Nine out of ten said that if America doesn't boost investments in child care programs now, it will pay far more later in crime, welfare, and other costs.[1]

The chiefs were also asked to select from several strategies the one that would have "the biggest impact on reducing youth violence." Seven out of ten chiefs picked "providing more after-school programs and educational child care programs." More than four times as many chiefs rated this strategy "most effective" as picked either prosecuting more juveniles as adults, hiring more police officers to investigate juvenile crimes, or installing more metal detectors and surveillance cameras in schools.[2]

Police Chiefs Say Child Care Will Reduce Crime, Save Money

9 out of 10 agreed. "If America does not make greater investments in after-school and educational child care programs to help children and youth now, we will pay far more later in crime, welfare, and other costs."



George Mason University Survey

Just the Facts Please

'Failing to provide those youngsters with quality child care multiplied by five times the risk that they would become chronic lawbreakers'

This report isn't about ideology or philosophy; it's about facts. Like other Americans, crime fighters hold a range of views on whether it would be desirable for more parents to leave the workforce to stay home with their children. The *fact* is most parents are working, and their children are in some form of child care.[3] Their tender minds and emotions are being powerfully shaped by the quality of that care.[4]

The issue for law enforcement is whether the care the children receive while their parents are working will be good enough to help the kids get a good start in life, or whether it will be care that damages their development and ultimately endangers the public safety. Wishful thinking won't save lives. Good educational child care will.

The time for philosophical debate about whether such investments "might work" is past. The proof is in, and the facts are clear.

The Good News: Educational Child Care Prevents Crime and Violence

Police Chiefs Give High Priority to Child Care and After-School Programs

Seven out of ten chiefs picked providing more educational child care programs and after-school programs as the most effective of four options to reduce juvenile violence. That's far more than the number picking any one of the other strategies.


Provide more after-school and educational child care programs
69%
Prosecute more juveniles as adults
17%
Hire more police officers to investigate juvenile crimes
13%
Install more metal detectors and surveillance cameras in schools
1%

George Mason University Survey

Educational child care yields extraordinary returns, saving lives and even saving tax dollars.[5] Nurturing, stimulating child care teaches youngsters to get along with others, to care about others, and to start school ready to succeed, and helps them become the contributing citizens and good neighbors we all want them to be.

Powerful evidence from one study after another proves that quality educational child care in the first years of life can greatly reduce the risk that today's babies and toddlers will become tomorrow's violent teens and adults.[6]

For example, in one study, half of a group of at-risk 3- and 4- year-olds were randomly assigned to be in the High/Scope Perry preschool program until they started kindergarten. Twenty-two years later, those who had been left out were five times more likely to have become chronic lawbreakers, with five or more arrests.[7]

New research shows that similar gains can be achieved not only in small experimental programs, but also in large scale government-funded programs. Attending one of 20 Chicago Child-Parent Centers serving 3 and 4-year-olds, cut nearly in half (by 44%) the risk that a youth

would have two or more juvenile arrests by age 18, compared to children who had not been enrolled.[9]

Most "at-risk" kids, even if they must overcome poor child care, grow up to be contributing adults. But many fall far short of making the contribution they could have made if they had been in better child care as babies and toddlers. And new research confirms that failing to ensure at-risk children access to quality child care can actually multiply the danger that they will grow up with problem behaviors that can lead to later crime and violence against America's families.[10]

'Inadequate child care can actually multiply the danger that at-risk children will grow up with problem behaviors that can lead to later crime and violence'

The Bad News: Millions of Working Families Can't Afford Adequate Child Care.

Today, millions of children are getting child care so inadequate it should be called child storage.

Even though many child care teachers are working for poverty wages, often with little training and high turnover, full day child care for two children can easily cost $12,000 per year.[10] That's way out of reach for parents making $10,500 a year at full-time minimum wage jobs, and for millions of other struggling families.

These families —mostly young and still near the lowest earning levels of their working lives— can no more afford to pay for adequate child care during these years than they could pay the full cost of the education we provide in our public schools.

Protecting the Public Safety Requires Child Care Help for Families.

Just as government couldn't meet its responsibility to protect the public safety without providing public schooling, it can't protect the public safety without assuring access to adequate educational child care.

- The Head Start child development program remains so under-funded it can serve only half of the three- and four-year-olds eligible, and usually for just half of their parents' work day, for only part of the year.[11]

- Programs like Early Head Start, designed for kids under three, have funds to serve only a tiny fraction of the children who need them.[12]

- The Child Care and Development Fund, which assists communities in helping working families afford adequate child care, can serve only one in ten of those families that are eligible,[13] and provides them with stipends so meager that adequate care usually remains out of reach.[14] In addition, this money can be spent on unlicensed care, so there is even less assurance that this funding is being used for the type of quality child care that is needed for developing children.[15]

'The Child Care and Development Fund can serve only one in ten of those families that are eligible, and provides them with stipends so meager that adequate care usually remains out of reach'

Saving Lives, and Money.

The High/Scope Perry Preschool program showed that the public gains 7 dollars for every dollar invested in quality pre-school programs.[10] The new study of the Chicago Child-Parent Centers shows that the public and government can achieve similar gains when programs like these are implemented on a large scale.[11]

The Public Gets It.

Americans now realize that, if we want our families to be safe, we all have a stake in making sure that every working family has access to adequate educational child care programs. Polls conducted in 1999 for FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS by the Opinion Research Corporation showed:

- By a margin of nine to one, Americans said crime could be greatly reduced by boosting investment in child care, after-school programs, and other similar programs.

- By a margin of three to one they would be prepared either to pay more in taxes or pass up a tax cut to provide kids with such programs.

When the American public was asked to pick among national priorities including paying off the debt, new highways, and the military, providing access to child care and after-school programs was picked as one of the two top priorities, in a virtual tie with shoring up Social Security and Medicare.

The People on the Front Lines Get It.

For those of us in Fight Crime: Invest in Kids who are on the front lines in the battle against crime, the once-quiet crisis in child care is now noisy, pervasive, insistent and tragic. It screams through our police sirens rushing to yet another crime that didn't have to happen. We hear it in the sobs of the thousands of victims of violence and their families.

It's time for Elected Officials to Get It.

America has a tremendous opportunity. It can greatly reduce crime and violence by making sure that when parents are at work, children have access to quality educational child care. If we continue to pass up that opportunity, we will pay a tragic price. Make no mistake about it: our nation's child care crisis is a crime prevention crisis.

Sanford A. Newman
President
Fight Crime: Invest in Kids

1: Quality Educational Child Care Prevents Crime

Rigorous behavioral studies, hard experience and brain research tell the same story: in the first several years of life, children's intellects and emotions are being powerfully shaped.

Both learning and the physical growth and "wiring" of the brain proceed at an astounding pace during these years.[18] Learning, of course, continues throughout life, and there is even another similar spurt of brain development in adolescence. But the stimulation and nurturing the child receives during the first years have an enormous and lasting impact.

Recent brain research reinforces years of behavioral research that these factors have a substantial impact on brain function at age 12, and an even greater impact by age 15.[9]

The child care programs which have proven most effective in preventing future delinquency and crime are those that supplement quality developmental day care with efforts to coach parents in parenting skills and support them in addressing the challenges of parenthood.[20] For example:

High/Scope Preschool Program. In Ypsilanti, Michigan, the High/Scope Educational Research Foundation randomly divided low-income three- and four year-olds into two groups. Half received no special services, while the others were enrolled in a quality preschool program, including a weekly home visit, until they started kindergarten. When the children reached age 27, arrest records showed that those who had received quality preschooling were only one-fifth as likely to be "chronic offenders," with more than four arrests.[21]

In other words, those who were denied the quality preschool and parenting education visitor program as preschoolers were five times more likely to become chronic lawbreakers in adulthood!

Syracuse University Family Development Program. Researchers found that subsequent delinquency was cut dramatically when families were provided educational child care, parenting-education home visits, and other services beginning prenatally and continuing until the children began elementary school. Ten years later:

Educational Child Care Reduces Future Crime

At-Risk 3 & 4 year olds who didn't receive the preschool and weekly home visits were five times more likely to become chronic offenders (more than 4 arrests) by age 27.



High/Scope Perry Preschool Program

Kids Denied Quality Child Care Compiled Serious Criminal Records:

Crimes Committed* Ten Years After the Program Ended (Ages 13-16)

Those who did receive the program	Those who did not receive the program
Juvenile Delinquency	Juvenile Del.
	Juvenile Del.
	Juvenile Del.
	Juvenile Del.
	Criminal Mischief
	Violation of Probat.
	Petit Larceny
	Petit Larceny
	Burglary
	Attempted Assault
	Robbery
	Robbery
	Assault
	Sexual Assault

* Excludes non-crime "Ungovernable" cases where the juvenile court has ruled the child is out of the control of adults

Syracuse University Family Development Program

• Among those children who had not received the early childhood services, nearly one in five had already been charged with offenses. Nearly 1 in 10 were already "chronic offenders," with more than four arrests or charges of being ungovernable. And, as the inset shows, many of these offenses were serious.

• Among those children who *had* received the extra services, only one in twenty had even been charged with being ungovernable, and only 1.5% had actually been delinquent.[23]

In other words, failing to provide these babies and toddlers with good educational child care and related services multiplied by ten times the risk that they would become delinquent as teens.

Of course, the benefits of these programs are not limited to crime prevention. When the High Scope toddlers became adults, for example, they were far better able to support themselves and their families than those left out of the program. Among males, the children who received preschool and home visitor services were eight times more likely to earn over $24,000 a year.[24]

While earnings were lower for females, nearly three times as many of the pre-schooled females as of those left out of the program (48% vs. 18%) were earning more than $12,000 a year. Those who received preschool and home visits as toddlers were also 25% less likely ever to have received welfare or other means-tested social services as adults.[24]

Females who had participated in the preschool program were two and a half times more likely to earn a high school diploma (84% vs. 35%), five times more likely to be married at age 27 (40% vs. 8%) and had one-third fewer out-of-wedlock births than the control group.[25]

High/Scope Perry Preschool			
Outcomes at age 27		Perry	Controls
All	Chronic Offending	7%	35%
Females	Earn > $12,000/year	48%	18%
Females	High School Diploma	84%	35%
Females	Married at age 27	40%	8%
Males	Earn > $24,000/year	42%	6%
Females	Receiving Gov. $	26%	59%

But the bottom line for law enforcement is that providing these proven "right-start" services dramatically reduces the risk that children will grow up to become criminals. Failing to provide kids with these services sharply increases crime and costs lives.

Getting Results in the Real World: These results are not limited to small, model programs. For example, North Carolina's pioneering Smart Start program is spending $78 million a year on enhanc-

ing access to quality child care and other services for children under six. A new study by the University of North Carolina's Frank Porter Graham Center shows that children in the child care centers receiving substantial quality-improvement help from Smart Start were only about half as likely to have serious behavior problems in kindergarten.[26]

The flip side of that statement is equally telling: Kids in centers not receiving the Smart Start services were nearly twice as likely to be disruptive in kindergarten.[27] This is important because research consistently shows that children who exhibit problem behaviors in the early grades are at far greater risk than other children of becoming teen delinquents and adult criminals.[28]

A nationwide study of child care confirms the point that quality matters when it comes to problem behaviors later in life. *The Children of the Cost Quality Study Go to School* research was conducted in four different states by a team from four different universities. The study first rated child care centers for quality. Years later, when the children who had been in the various centers were eight years old, their behavior was evaluated.

Children of high school-educated mothers who received *good* quality child care had no more behavior problems than the children of college-educated mothers. But, children of high school-educated mothers from *poor* quality child care had significantly more behavior problems.[29] [See graph p.8]. Good quality child care levels the playing field.

If any doubt remained that a major government-funded initiative could produce results similar to those of High/Scope's Perry Preschool, it has been erased by the new results from a long-term study of Chicago's Child-Parent Centers (CPC). Almost 1,000 at-risk kids who had been enrolled in 20 of these centers were compared

Problem Behaviors Cut in Half By North Carolina's Smart Start:
Comparison children were almost twice as likely to score poorly on behavior problems in Kindergarten as kids who attended Smart Start centers that received all the quality supports (Smart Start Direct)



Frank Porter Graham Center

Child-Parent Centers Cut Multiple Arrests in Half:
Comparison children were almost twice as likely to have been brought before a juvenile court at least twice by age 18 as the children who attended the Chicago Child-Parent Center programs.


Two or More Arrests by Age 18
15%
8%
Child-Parent Center Children
Comparison Children who did not attend a Child-Parent Center

Chicago Child-Parent Center

'Children who exhibit problem behaviors in the early grades are at far greater risk than other children of becoming teen delinquents and adult criminals'

at age 18 to very similar children who received all day kindergarten but not the preschool and parent coaching program. Those who had not been in the CPC were 60% more likely (26% vs. 16%) to have at least one juvenile arrest, and nearly twice as likely (15% vs. 8%) to have two or more such arrests.[30]

Taken as a whole, these research breakthroughs make clear that "early care and nurture have a decisive, long-lasting impact on how people develop, their ability to learn, and their capacity to regulate their own emotions."[31] It is to our distinct advantage to put the teachings of this science to work.

Quality Child Care Prevents School Behavior Problems:
At age 8, at-risk children who had been in *good* child care centers in the years before they started school had no more behavior problems than children of the best-educated mothers. But those at-risk kids who had been in *poor* quality child care centers had significantly higher behavior problems.


College Educated Mother, Good Quality Child Care
2.1
High School Educated Mother, Good Quality Child Care
2.1
High School Educated Mother, Poor Quality Child Care
2.5
1.9 2 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5
Problem Behaviors Score at Age 8
Better
Worse

The Children of the Cost, Quality and Outcomes Study Go to School

2: Child Storage Isn't Child Care

Especially for children burdened by other disadvantages, providing poor child care rather than quality care damages their potential to become contributing adults, and increases the danger that they will grow up to engage in crime.

Of course, when their parents are working, nearly all preschool children will receive some kind of care, of whatever quality. Marriott Corporation executive Donna Klein reports that every day she finds children of service workers in hotel lobbies and dangerous cleaning supply rooms, because their low-wage working parents are unable to find decent child care at an affordable price.[32] Other parents may take their children to family day care homes in which a provider trying to make a living while keeping fees down is caring for too many babies and toddlers, or knows too little about child development to provide the nurturing and stimulation they need. As children reach school age, and sometimes even before, desperate parents may reluctantly leave them to "take care of themselves."

Recent research makes clear that "quality child care" must do far more than keep children safe from immediate physical injury. Good child care stimulates and nurtures children to maximize their healthy intellectual and emotional development.[33]

We can no more afford to accept child care that is merely "custodial" than we could accept assigning some children to public schools that are "custodial" rather than "instructional".[34]

As the Committee for Economic Development, a group of business executives from major corporations, said, "All programs for children from birth to age five — whether designated as child care, early childhood education or preschool — should focus on their educational and developmental needs and take into account what children will need to succeed in school and in life."[35]

The programs which have been proven to have the most substantial impact in reducing antisocial behavior, delinquency, and adult crime were quality programs. Staff-child ratios in such quality educational child care programs were one adult for every three or four infants and toddlers, or one adult for every six preschoolers; staff were well-trained and supervised;[36] and the programs included parenting-education components.

Just as high-quality care can markedly reduce the risk of delinquency and other unhappy outcomes, low-quality care leads to increased risk of such results.[37]

'We can no more afford to accept child care that is merely "custodial" than we could accept assigning some children to public schools that are "custodial" rather than instructional'

Unfortunately, much of the child care America's children are receiving is substandard. *The Cost, Quality, and Outcome Study* rated 400 randomly selected child care centers on a scale of 1 to 7 for the following characteristics: 1) Inadequate – children's need for health and safety are not met, there is no observed warmth or support from adults, and no learning is encouraged. 3) Minimal – children's basic health and safety needs are met, a little warmth and support is provided by adults, and a few learning experiences are provided; 5) Good – health and safety needs are fully met, warmth and support is provided for all children, and learning is encouraged in many ways through interesting, fun activities. 7) Excellent – all of the characteristics of good care are present, and children are encouraged to become independent, teachers plan for children's individual learning needs, and adults have close, personal relationships with each child."[28]

The study found that 1 in ten preschool centers and fully 4 in ten infant care centers were of such poor quality (scoring 1-2 on their scale) that they may jeopardize the children's development. In contrast, on average only 14% of all the centers studied achieved developmentally adequate quality (scoring 5-7).[29]

Of *un*regulated family day care, 3% is of good quality, and of regulated family day care, 12% is of good quality.[30]

A Critical Shortage of Quality Child Care
Quality ratings of preschool and infant child care centers. (The quality ratings are explained in the text).



Cost Quality and Outcomes Study

3: Too Many Families Can't Afford Adequate Child Care

Today, in large part because so many parents cannot afford quality care, millions of children are in child care so inferior in quality that it not only fails to produce positive results but may actually damage their development.[41]

As this decade began, the Committee for Economic Development declared, "The lack of availability of quality child care that is developmentally appropriate, has educational value, and is affordable has created a crisis of national proportions that affects most families but hits low-income families the hardest."[42]

Into the new millennium, that crisis has escalated.

Today, only 23% of all families with children younger than age 6 have one parent working and one at home.[43] One out of four children lives with only one parent,[44] and half of all children can now expect to live an average of at least five years in a single-parent family.[45]

'The average annual cost of child care for a 4-year-old in an urban center is more than the average annual cost of public college tuition in almost every state'

Child care is expensive. In fact, a survey by the Children's Defense Fund and the National Child Care Resource and Referral Agencies found that: "The average annual cost of child care for a 4-year-old in an urban center is more than the average annual cost of public college tuition in almost every state. In some cities child care costs *twice* as much as college tuition."[46]

The report concluded, "The average annual cost for a 4-year-old in a child care center exceeds $4,000 in over two-thirds of 47 cities surveyed and is more than $5,000 in one-third of the cities...The cost of care for infants is even higher. The average cost of center care for a 12-month-old is above $5,500 in half the cities surveyed, including 11 urban areas where child care center costs for an infant average more than $7,000 per year."[47]

These are average costs. Experts estimate that quality care for infants and toddlers in many cities can rarely be found for less than $10,000 a year.[48]

Especially at the lower end of the average range, the quality of much of the care provided is woefully inadequate.[49] It is nearly impossible for child care centers to provide necessary staff-to-child ratios and group sizes, and pay enough to retain appropriately trained staff, without

Low Income Families Pay One Quarter of Their Income for Child Care

The percentage of family income going for child care (of families who pay for any type of care)



The Census Bureau

charging higher fees.[50]

Census Bureau data show that, of families paying for child care, families with incomes over $54,000 a year are spending 6% of their income on child care. But families with less than $14,000 annual income are spending on average fully 25% of their income on child care. Even with that huge portion of their income going to child care, poor families are able to spend only half as much on child care as families with incomes over $54,000 per year.[51]

'How can a single parent with two children working full time at $10,500 a year pay for child care that can easily cost $12,000 a year?'

How can a single parent with two children, working full time at $10,500 a year pay for child care that can easily cost $12,000 a year?[52] Even two parents making $21,000 a year, cannot afford that. Clearly, unless government helps, quality child care is simply not an available option for most of the families whose children are most at risk.

Today, quality child care and after-school programs are financially out of reach for millions of America's most at-risk children and youth. Young families, most of whom are still near the lowest earning levels of their working lives, can no more afford to pay for quality child care than they could afford to pay the full cost of the education we provide through public schools.

High-quality child care and child development programs are least available to those who derive the most benefit from them — poor children.[53]

Research shows that children whose mothers have lower levels of education receive the greatest benefits from high quality child care, and are most sensitive to the negative effects of poor quality care. For example, one study shows that, when they start first grade, middle-class children have been exposed to an average of 1,000 to 1,700 hours of one-on-one picture book reading, but that the average for low-income children is only 25 hours![54]

Among those children from low- and moderate-income families who are enrolled in child care programs, the services their families are able to afford are typically inadequate. As the Carnegie Corporation's Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades concluded: "With the notable exception of Head Start and some exemplary state-funded programs, programs attended by lower-income children do not ordinarily provide the full range of child development, health, and parent services that help children get ready for school."[55]

With 35% of America's children reaching school unprepared to succeed,[56] schools and teachers too often are overwhelmed, jeopardizing the development and education of even those children who are adequately prepared.[57]

From a law enforcement perspective, the child care crisis is especially severe because it strikes hardest at those most vulnerable — at-risk children. When we shortchange their child care, we increase the risk they will grow up to pose a threat to the rest of us.

4: Federal and State Governments Fall Short of the Investment Needed to Protect Public Safety

State and local governments long have shouldered the cost of public schools from kindergarten to high school and much of the cost of state university systems. But when it comes to child care, parenting education, and after-school programs, government help for struggling working parents has been disastrously inadequate.

The federal government assists families in obtaining child care primarily through:

1. The Federal Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG), provides states with funds which can be used to help families making less than 85% of the state's median income pay for child care. Most states, in practice, set an eligibility ceiling lower than 85% of median income. In order to receive the full federal CCDBG allocation, states must match a portion of the funding, and they may add additional funding. The resulting pool of federal and state funds is the **Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF)**

Families receiving this assistance are free to choose child care provided by a relative or neighbor, a child care center, or someone who provides child care in the provider's home. The average federal subsidy for the children served is about $66 per week.[58]

States design their own programs to spend these funds as each sees fit, with virtually no federal requirements attached.[59] All states currently have established a sliding scale, under which the parents pay a greater portion of the cost of child care as their income approaches the eligibility ceiling, but the scale itself varies widely from one state to another.

Federal CCDBG and state funding for CCDF are woefully inadequate. A recent study by the Department of Health and Human Services found that nine in ten eligible children with working parents —13.2 million of the 14.7 million eligible—get nothing from the Child Care and Development Fund, and the need is far from being met by other state or federal programs.[60]

'Nine in ten eligible children with working parents — 13.2 million of 14.7 million eligible — get nothing from the Child Care and Development Fund, and the need is far from being met by other state or federal programs'

An Urban Institute study of child care for low-income families in six communities (Bath, NY; Birmingham, AL; Boulder, CO; Chicago, IL; Dallas, TX; and San Francisco, CA) found that a low-income family with no welfare history, despite eligibility for child care subsidies, would find no funds available to them in five of the six communities.[61] Although families moving from welfare to work must be provided with transitional child care assistance, that assistance ends after one year, at

which point they may be unable to get assistance from any other source.[62] A recent Center for Law and Social Policy review of available state surveys found that, "In all of the states with data on this topic, less than 50% of the responding families that have left welfare and are now working are receiving child care assistance. In most of the study sites, utilization is 30% or less." The review also found that in the few studies that asked these families about awareness, 40% or more of the families were unaware the subsidies were even available."[63]

2. Head Start. The Head Start program, which began in 1965, provides comprehensive education, social and emotional development programs, physical and mental health and nutrition services, and parent involvement for children whose families are below the poverty line. It serves primarily 3- and 4-year-olds. The federal government sets minimum standards, but funds hundreds of community-based grantees — nonprofit organizations and school systems—to provide services.

'Because of inadequate funding Head Start today can serve only half of the three- and four-year-olds eligible for the program'

At its current level of funding, Head Start today can serve only half of the three- and four-year olds eligible for the program.

The latest figures for 1999 show that approximately two thirds of Head Start families had at least one parent working, and almost half are working full time. About half of those who rely on Head Start indicate they need full time, full year child care.[64] Nevertheless, Head Start programs have been forced by inadequate funding to continue providing less than the nine-hour-a-day programs that full-time working parents typically need. In fact, only about 13% percent of Head Start programs provide full day programs.[65]

3. Early Head Start was created to provide comprehensive child development and family support services to low-income babies and toddlers from birth to age three. Its first 68 grants to community-based service providers were awarded late in 1995. In Fiscal Year 1999, Early Head Start served 39,000 children, out of a total of nearly three million eligible youngsters.[66]

4. Tax Credits. The Dependent Care Tax Credit (DCTC) allows parents who need child care in order to work to claim an income tax credit for a part of their child care expenses for children younger than thirteen. While well-intentioned, the program that costs the government $2.5 billion a year in forgone revenue has two serious flaws. While this credit is of value, it provides very little help to those families who most need it.

- The amount that went to families with under $40,000 in household income in 1996 averaged $409 a family.[67] In most cities, that's less than the cost of one month's child care for one child.[68]

- The poorest families (those with income so low they owe no income

tax, or little tax) get no or little benefit from a tax credit. Consequently, of every $100 in federal tax credits, only $2.40 helps families with incomes under $15,000, and $97.60 goes to families with incomes higher than $15,000.[69] In fact, about $40 of every $100 goes to families making over $50,000.[70]

The other substantial federal tax provision subsidizing child care, the Dependent Care Assistance Plan, allows an employee to set aside up to $5,000 per year in non-taxed income for child care expenses. These credits, totaling $890 million in fiscal year 1998,[71] were even more heavily tilted toward upper-income taxpayers.[72]

5. State Funded Preschool Programs and State Funded Head Start.

Some states have made important commitments to early childhood care and development programs. Kentucky's statewide Family Resource Centers, based on the Schools of the 21st Century model, provide all-day educational child care for 3,4, and 5-year olds. North Carolina's pioneering Smart Start program initiated by Governor Jim Hunt; Ohio's Families and Children First Initiative, launched by former Governor George V. Voinovich; and Georgia's universal access pre-kindergarten program for 4-year olds are all making critical contributions to helping children in those states get a solid start.

'What we experience as turnover, children experience as loss'

Still, the amount spent by states nationwide on Pre-K and state funded Head Start programs is just $1.7 billion and reaches only about 700,000 kids.[73] Since many of the children served by these often more universal programs are not from low-income families, the number of low-income children served is even less. So despite such pathbreaking work by some states, both state and federal investment has fallen lamentably and tragically short of what America's children and the public safety demand.

A Growing Crisis

Even with modest efforts on the state and federal level to increase funding, America faces a child care crisis. Fueled by the welfare-to-work movement and an expanding economy, demand for child care is booming just as experienced workers are quitting to take higher-paying jobs in other fields.

Salaries for child care workers in centers fall below those for parking lot attendants. Income for providers working in their homes is even lower.[74] As a consequence, workers are quitting in droves.[75] The Center for the Child Care Workforce reports that turnover among workers was 30% in 1997.[76] Compounding the problem is the fact that many of the workers leaving child care have a high level of education much in demand in the soaring economy. This is not just an economic problem, "What we experience as turnover, children experience as loss."[77]

Parking Lot Attendants Paid More Than Child Care Providers

A comparison of median hourly wages between child care jobs and other occupations based on Bureau of Labor Statistics data for 1997.



Center for the Child Care Workforce

5: Penny-Wise, Pound-Foolish Policy Choices: Wasting Money and Lives

Do investments like these in quality early-childhood education bust budgets — or save money? Extensive analysis of the High/Scope Perry Preschool Preschool Program, and just released new results available from the ongoing, publicly-funded Chicago Child-Parent Centers demonstrate overwhelmingly that these are wise investments.

Rutgers University economist Steven Barnett has estimated that the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program produced nearly $150,000 per participant in savings from reduced crime alone.[78] Even after discounting these savings to take into account interest which could have been earned on the preschool investment while the High/Scope toddlers were growing up, Barnett concluded that the net savings were more than $70,000 per participant in crime-related savings alone, and a total of $88,000 once welfare, tax and other savings are included.[79]

Educational Child Care Saves Taxpayers' Money

Even after accounting for inflation, over seven dollars was saved by the public for every dollar invested in a preschool and home visitation program.



For every $100 invested

Over $700 was saved

High/Scope Perry Preschool

In short, every dollar invested in the High/Scope Perry program returned $7.16 to the public. These savings count only the benefits to the public at large — in reduced costs of crime, welfare and remedial education and in added revenues from taxes paid when the preschoolers became adult workers—without even taking into account the enormous direct benefits to the kids themselves.[80]

Barnett estimates that the cost to society of failing to provide at least two years of quality early-childhood care and education to low-income children is approximately $100,000 per child, totaling about $400 billion for all poor children now under five.[78]

A recent RAND Corporation study found that even after excluding all benefits to crime victims and other citizens, and discounting to account for alternative investments, savings to government alone from providing the High/Scope services would come to twice the program's costs.[82]

Economists, looking at this, other research and their own analyses had concluded that spending on early childhood programs are among the best investments government can make in education. As James Heckman at the University of Chicago put it, "Skills [including social skills] acquired early on make later learning easier."[83] William Gale and Isabel

'Investing in early childhood education provides government and society with estimated rates of return that would make a venture capitalist envious'

V. Sawhill of the Brookings Institution, wrote that investing in early childhood education provides government and society "with estimated rates of return that would make a venture capitalist envious."[84]

A 1997 study by Professor Mark A. Cohen of Vanderbilt University estimated that each high-risk youth prevented from adopting a life of crime could save the country between $1.7 million and $2.3 million.[85]

New Results: Just released results prove that similar savings can also be realized when quality early-childhood programs are brought to full scale. As briefly described earlier, Chicago's Child-Parent Center federal and state-funded program has been providing quality early childhood education and parent training to almost 100,000 at-risk kids since 1967.[86] A study followed almost 1,000 children attending the centers in 1985-86. Compared to very similar children who attended all-day kindergartens but not the CPC preschool centers, by age 20 these children had 26% greater high school graduation rates, were held back in school 35% less often, and were nearly half as likely to have been arrested two or more times as a juvenile. The program saves the government, the public, and the participants combined, almost $5 for every dollar invested. Savings to the government alone are $2.31 for every dollar invested, and fully half of those savings come from preventing crime. When reduced adult crime and reduced welfare dependency savings are later included, the results should be even more positive.[87]

The Committee for Economic Development summed up the bottom line this way in calling for investments in educational child care programs:

"Education is an investment, not an expense. If we can ensure that all children are born healthy and develop the skills and knowledge they need to be productive, self-supporting adults, whatever is spent on their development and education will be returned many times over in higher productivity, incomes, and taxes, and in lower costs for welfare, health care, crime, and myriad other economic and social problems."[88]

The bottom line: investments in quality child development and after-school programs are money-savers, not budget-busters.

"The question is not whether we can afford these programs, it is whether we can afford to jeopardize the safety of millions of Americans and saddle future generations with the cost of failing to make these proven investments today. When child care and after-school programs save dollars and cut crime, why shouldn't our federal and state governments provide the funding that will enable communities to get the job done?"

6: From Experts in Child Development and the Front Lines of the Battle Against Crime: A Call for Action

The people on the front lines fighting crime are less concerned with political ideology than with hard-nosed practical solutions. They insist on doing what really works to fight crime.

Everyone agrees, of course, that dangerous criminals need to be locked up. But the people who work day-in and day-out to track down, arrest, and prosecute criminals know that this vital defense is only a stop-gap measure. So do crime victims.

Today, hundreds of our nation's most distinguished police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors and crime victims have joined with experts in child development in calling on all public officials to protect the public safety by providing all infants, toddlers and preschool children access to quality child care at a price their parents can afford.

National and state law enforcement organizations have joined in the call for educational child care for all children. The Major Cities (Police) Chiefs organization, the Police Executive Research Forum, the National District Attorneys Association, The National Sheriffs Association, and law enforcement associations in Illinois, Iowa, Maine, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Texas, Arizona and California have called on government to guarantee all kids access to child care as a necessary step to reduce crime.

That means child care with enough well-trained staff to ensure that young children receive the nurturing and stimulating environment they need to start school ready to succeed and to learn the core values that begin to develop in the first years of life. To be most effective in reducing crime, quality child care and development programs for at-risk families should be linked to parent education and family support.

At the federal level, this means we should be assuring that our most at-risk babies and toddlers receive the care they need from birth to age three through Early Head Start and other quality programs. It means we should be assuring that Head Start has enough funding to serve all the low-income children who need it, and provide full-day, high-quality, year-round care for the children of working parents. And it means sufficient increases in funding for educational child care programs so that all families will have the help they need to access quality care.

It means states should sharply increase their own investments in educational child care and development programs to help their children get the right start.

'We should be assuring that Head Start has enough funding to serve all the low-income children who need it, and provide full-day, high-quality, year-round care for the children of working parents.'

Conclusion

'A gaping crime prevention deficit'

Our federal and state governments are falling far short of the investments in child care needed to meet their responsibility to protect the public safety. That shortfall is part of a gaping crime-prevention deficit that jeopardizes the safety of every American.

It is time that leaders at the state and federal levels lay out a plan to eliminate that deficit. No responsibility of federal and state governments is more fundamental than protecting the public safety.

That responsibility simply cannot be met without providing communities with the resources to assure that all families, especially those whose children are most at risk of going astray, have access to quality child care at a price they can afford.

"We need to start fighting crime in the high chair, not the electric chair."

George Sweat:
former Police Chief of Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Endnotes:

1 Fight Crime: Invest in Kids. "Poll of Police Chiefs conducted by George Mason University Professors Stephen D. Mastrofski and Scott Keeter." Washington, DC, November 1, 1999.

2. Ibid.

3. Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Green Book*. Washington, D.C. 1996.

4. Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School." 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm

5. Barnett, W. S., "Cost Benefit Analysis", in Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: (The High/Scope Press, 1993), pp. 161-162; and, Karoly, L.A., Greenwood, P.W., Everingham, S.S., Hoube, J., Kilburn, R.M., Rydell, C.P., Sanders, M., Chiesa, J., *"Investing in Our Children. What We Know and Don't Know About the Costs and Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions."* RAND, Santa Monica CA, 1998.

6. Numerous studies demonstrate the role of educational child care in preventing problem behaviors at later ages in a child's development. See for example: Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm. Further research has shown that school-age children with problem behaviors are at higher risk to become criminals, for example: Ensminger, M.E., Kellam, S.G., and Rubin, B.R. (1983). "School and Family Origins of Delinquency: Comparisons by Sex." In K.T. Van Dusen & S.A. Mednick (Eds.), Prospective Studies of Crime and Delinquency, (pp. 73097). Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff.; Tremblay, R.E., Kurtz, L., Mass-, L.C., Vitaro, F., & Pihl, R.O. "A bimodal Intervention for Disruptive Kindergarten Boys: Its Impact Through Mid-adolescence. Unpublished manuscript. Montreal: University of Montreal, Research Unit on Children's Psycho-Social Maladjustment, 1994; and Elliott, D.S., Hamburg, B.A., Williams, K.R., Violence in American Schools, New York, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1998. Two of the studies that tracked at-risk children in model pre-school programs into their crime-prone years found strong evidence (discussed in this report) that later crime and violence can be prevented by these early-childhood programs: Lally, J.R., Mangione, P.L., and Honig, A.S., "The Syracuse University Family Development Research Program: Long-Range Impact of an Early Intervention with Low-Income Children and Their Families." In D.R. Powell (Ed.), Parent Education as Early Childhood Intervention: Emerging Directions in Theory, Research and Practice (pp. 79-104), Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corp (1988); and, Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27 (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993). For an overview of the issues and research, see also the book: Karr-Morse, R., Wiley M.S., *Ghosts from the Nursery: Tracing the Roots of Violence*, Atlantic Monthly Press, New York, 1997.

7. Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27 (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993).

8. Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Long-Term Effects of Participation in the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers", Symposium Presentation at the Biennieal Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.

9. Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm

10. Schulman, K., and Adams, G., *Issue Brief, The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach for Many Families*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 1998.

11. Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., November 1999.

12. Ibid.

13. Department of Health and Human Services, *Access to Child Care for Low-Income Working Families*, Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, D.C. 1999.

14. Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington ,D.C., November 1999.

15. Ibid.

16. Barnett, W. S., "Cost Benefit Analysis", in Schweinhart, L.J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: (The High/Scope Press, 1993), pp. 161-162.

17. Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Long-Term Effects of Participation in the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers", Symposium Presentation at the Biennieal Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.

18. Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, "The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education" (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.

19. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 8.

20. Yoshikawa, H., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Social Outcomes and Delinquency," Long Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs, The Future of Children, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Winter 1995), pp. 56-59; Zigler, E., and Styfco, S.J., "Can Early Childhood Intervention Prevent Delinquency? A Real Possibility, " Constructive and Destructive Behavior: Implications for Family, School and Society (forthcoming), pp. 25-27.

21. Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27 (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993). More than 1/3 (35%) of the control group, but only 1/14 (7%) of the preschooled group, had been arrested more than four times by age 27. Among males, nearly half (49%) of the control group, but less than 1/8 of the preschooled group, had more than four arrests by age 27.

22. Lally, J.R., Mangione, P.L., and Honig, A.S., "The Syracuse University Family Development Research Program: Long-Range Impact of an Early Intervention with Low-Income Children and Their Families," in D.R. Powell (Ed.), Parent Education as Early Childhood Intervention: Emerging Directions in Theory, Research and Practice (pp. 79-104), Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corp. (1988). For this purpose, "delinquency" means conduct which would be considered criminal if committed by an adult.

23. Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27 (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993).

24. Ibid.

25. Ibid.

26. FPG-UNC Smart Start Evaluation Team, "Smart Start: A Six County Study of the Effects of Smart Start Child Care on Kindergarten Entry Skills," Frank Porter Graham Child Development center Smart Start Evaluation Team, North Carolina, September 1999.

27. Ibid.

28. Ensminger, M.E., Kellam, S.G., and Rubin, B.R., "School and Family Origins of Delinquency: Comparisons by Sex." In K.T. Van Dusen & S.A. Mednick (Eds.), Prospective Studies of Crime and Delinquency, (pp. 73097), (1983) Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff.; Tremblay, R.E., Kurtz, L., Masse, L.C., Vitaro, F., & Pihl, R.O. (1994). "A Bimodal Intervention for Disruptive Kindergarten Boys: Its Impact Through Mid-adolescence. Unpublished manuscript. Montreal: University of Montreal, Research Unit on Children's Psycho-Social Maladjustment; and Elliott, D.S., Hamburg, B.A., Williams, K.R., Violence in American Schools, New York, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

29. Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm.

30. Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Long-Term Effects of Participation in the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers", Symposium Presentations at the Biennieal Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.

31. Shore, R., "Rethinking the Brain: New Insights into Early Development" Families and Work Institute, 1997, p. 27.

32. Oral statement of Donna Klein, Congressional Staff Briefing on School-Age Care, January 13, 1998.

33. Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm.

34. Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 69.

35. Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.

36. Yoshikawa, H., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Social Outcomes and Delinquency," Long Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs: The Future of Children, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Winter 1995), p. 68.

37. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, supra, n.71, p.49; see also: Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm.

38. Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, "Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995, p. 40-44.

39. Ibid.

40. Galinsky, E., et al. *The Study of Children in Family Child Care and Relative Care: Highlights of Findings*, Families and Work Institute, New York, 1994.

41. Ibid, and Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., *"The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,"* 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm.

42. Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), p. 31.

43. Schulman, K., Adams, G., "Issue Brief: The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach for Many Families," Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., December, 1998.

44. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 4.

45. Ibid.

46. Schulman, K., Adams, G., "Issue Brief: The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach for Many Families," Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., December 1998.

47. Ibid.

48. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, supra, 71.

49. Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, "Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995; and Galinsky, E., et al. *The Study of Children in Family Child Care and Relative Care: Highlights of Findings*, Families and Work Institute, New York, 1994.

50. One-third of all child care teachers leave their centers each year. "Profile: The Child Care Work Force (Washington, DC: National Center for the Early Childhood Work Force, 1998). That is five times the turnover rate reported for public school teachers and

three times the annual turnover reported by U.S companies overall. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 55.

51. Department of Health and Human Services, "Access to Child Care for Low-Income Working Families," Washington, D.C. 1999, www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/ccreport.htm.

52. Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., November, 1999.

53. Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm.; Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 57; Packard Foundation, The Future of Children: Long-Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Los Altos, CA: Center for the Future of Children, The David and Lucille Packard Foundation, Winter 1995), p. 8; Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, "Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995, p. 4.

54. Adams, G.C., Poersch, N.O., *Key Facts about Child care and early education: A Briefing Book*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 1997, p. C-4.

55. Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 57.

56. Boyer, E.L., *"Ready to Learn: a Mandate for the Nation,* Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, New Jersey, 1991.

57. Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), p. 16.

58. Council of Economic Advisors, The Economics of Child Care (Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President, Council of Economic Advisors, December 1997), unnumbered table following p. 4.

59. The only significant requirements are: a) that the state establish reimbursement rates that allow children receiving assistance to have access to child care comparable to other children; and b) that at least 4% of the funds be spent on activities of the state's choosing to improve the quality and supply of child care. States typically use these funds to support training for child care providers, resource and referral agencies that train and recruit providers and help families find care, and grants and loans to providers and to mothers. See Children's Defense Fund, "Federal and State Government Partners in Child Care," p. 1.

60. Department of Health and Human Services, "Access to Child Care for Low-Income Working Families," Washington, D.C. 1999, www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/ccreport.htm.; see also, Schulman, K., Blank, H., Ewen, D., *Seeds of Success: State Prekindergarten Initiatives 1998-1999*, Children's Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., September 1999; and General Accounting Office, *Education and Care: Early Childhood Programs and Services for Low-Income Families*, General Accounting Office, Washington D.C., November 1999.

61. Urban Institute, "Services for Low-Income Children" (1997), p. 2.

62. Ibid., p. 5.

63. Schumacher, R., Greenberg, M., "Child Care After Leaving Welfare: Early Evidence from State Studies", Center for Law and Social Policy, Washington D.C.., 1999.

64. From a conversation by one of the authors with researchers at the Head Start Bureau, 1/5/00.

65. Ibid.

66. General Accounting Office, *"Education and Care: Early Childhood Programs and Services for Low-Income Families,"* General Accounting Office, Washington D.C., November 1999.

67. Based on data provided by Janet Holtzblatt of the Treasury Department. For 1995, $383,763,000 in tax credits were forgone for 2,657,255 filers with incomes under $40,000. That equals $409 per filer.

68. Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*. Children's Defense Fund. Washington D.C., November 1999.

69. Based on 1995 data provided by Janet Holtzblatt of the Treasury Department.

70. Mitchell, A., Stoney, L., Dichetter, H., *Financing Child Care in the United States: An Illustrative Catalog of Current strategies*. Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation and the Pew Charitable Trust, 1997.

71. Council of Economic Advisors, The Economics of child Care. Executive Office of the President, Council of Economic Advisors. Washington, D.C., December 1997, table following p.4.

72. Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children. New York, Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996.

73. Schulman, K., Blank, K., Ewen, D., *Seeds of Success: State Prekindergarten Initiatives 1998-1999*. Children's Defense Fund. September, 1999.

74. Center for the Child Care Workforce, "Current Data on Child Care Salaries and Benefits in the United States." Center for the Child Care Workforce, Washington, D.C., March 1999.

75. Salmon, J.L., "For Many Children, Nowhere to Go: High Demand, Low Wages Put the Squeeze on Child Care Providers," *The Washington Post*, p.A1, September 19, 1999.

76. National Center for the Early Childhood Work Force, "Profile: The Child Care Work Force," Washington, DC., 1998.

77. Quote from Deborah Philips, child development expert.

78. Barnett, W. S., "Cost-Benefit Analysis", in Schweinhart, L.J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits (The High/Scope Press, 1993), pp. 161-162.

79. Ibid.

80. Ibid.

81. Barnett, W. S., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Cognitive and School Outcomes," The Future of Children, Vol 5, No. 3, Winter 1995, p.45.

82. Karoly, L.A., Greenwood, P.W., Everingham, S.S., Houbé, J., Kilburn, R.M., Rydell, C.P., Sanders M., Chiesa, J., *"Investing in Our Children: What We Know and Don't Know About the Costs and Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions."* RAND, Santa Monica CA, 1998.

83. Heckman, J.J., "Policies to Foster Human Capital" Working Paper 7288, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, August, 1999.

84. Gale, W., Sawhill, I.V., "The Best Return on the Surplus" The Washington Post, Opinion Page, 2/17/99.

85. Cohen, M.A., "The Monetary Value of Saving a High Risk Youth," July 1997 (unpublished). (Permission for use granted by Professor Cohen).

86. Miedel, W.T., "Parent Involvement in Children's Education and Educational Attainment: Is There a Connection?" Symposium Presentation at the Biennieal Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.

87. Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Alterable Predictors of Educational Attainment in the Chicago Longitudinal Study" and "Long-Term Effects of Participation in the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers", Symposium Presentations at the Biennieal Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.

88. Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

What

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS, founded in 1996, is a bipartisan, non-profit anti-crime organization led by America's best-known police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors and victims of violence.

Who

FIGHT CRIME is led by National and State Advisory Committees that include over seven hundred police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors, and victims of violence. One of the founding members was former Attorney General Elliot Richardson. Present leaders include the presidents of the Fraternal Order of Police, the International Union of Police Associations, and the Police Executive Research Forum. The victims of violence include Marc Klass, whose daughter Polly was abducted and murdered in California, and Jean Lewis, president of the National Organization of Parents of Murdered Children.

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS' Crime and Violence Prevention Resource Council includes leading experts in criminology, child development and law enforcement.

Resources available at www.fightcrime.org

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS' ***School and Youth Violence Prevention Plan.*** A four-point plan provides a roadmap for how public officials can dramatically reduce crime.

Smoking Reduction Impact of Early Childhood and After-School Programs Fact Sheet. A one-page fact sheet showing that after-school and early childhood programs also help to prevent smoking, teen pregnancy and drugs.

Crime-Prevention Impact of Investments in Children and Youth Graphs and PowerPoint Presentation. A PowerPoint and/or transparency presentation of the evidence showing effective programs for children reduce crime.

National Poll of Police Chiefs. The poll shows law enforcement's overwhelming support for crime-prevention programs such as: Head Start, educational child care, and after-school programs.

Back-to-School public opinion poll. A poll showing that increased funding for educational child care and after- school programs tie with Social Security and Medicare as a top priority for the public.

Juvenile Crime public opinion poll. A poll showing that the public wants more prevention programs in the fight against juvenile crime.

After School Crime or After School Programs: Tuning In to the Prime Time for Violent Juvenile Crime and Implications for National Policy. A report to the United States Attorney General.

For more information on
Fight Crime: Invest in Kids
or to access our other reports
contact us at:

www.fightcrime.org